

# CONTENTS

Section	Page
<b>EXECUTIVE SUMMARY .....</b>	<b>ES-1</b>
ES.1 Introduction .....	ES-1
ES.2 Purpose of this Draft EIR .....	ES-1
ES.2.1 Introduction .....	ES-2
ES.2.2 Project Purpose .....	ES-2
ES.2.3 Baseline .....	ES-3
ES.3 Proposed Project .....	ES-3
ES.3.1 Overview .....	ES-3
ES.3.2 Project Description .....	ES-8
ES.4 Alternatives to the Project .....	ES-12
ES.4.1 Basis of Alternatives .....	ES-12
ES.4.2 Alternatives Considered .....	ES-12
ES.4.3 Alternatives Analyzed in this Draft EIR .....	ES-12
ES.4.4 Alternatives Considered But Eliminated from Further Consideration.....	ES-14
ES.4.5 Assessment of Other Goods Movement Concepts.....	ES-16
ES.5 Environmental Impacts .....	ES-18
ES.5.1 Impacts Not Considered in this Draft EIR .....	ES-19
ES.5.2 Impacts of the Proposed Project and Alternatives .....	ES-19
ES.5.3 Environmentally Preferred and Environmentally Superior Alternative.....	ES-26
ES.6 Lease Measures Subject to Approval.....	ES-81
ES.7 Project Conditions Subject to Approval .....	ES-83
ES.7.1 Zero Emission Container Movement Technologies....	ES-83
ES.7.2 Low-Emission Drayage Trucks.....	ES-84
ES.7.3 San Pedro Bay Ports CAAP Measure RL-3 .....	ES-84
ES.8 Information Not Required by CEQA .....	ES-85
ES 8.1 Additions To The Health Risk And Air Quality Analyses .....	ES-85
ES 8.2 Expanded Health Risk Assessment.....	ES-86

	ES 8.3 Regional Rail Assessment.....	ES-86
	ES 8.4 Combined Assessment of SCIG And ICTF .....	ES-86
	ES 8.5 Environmental Justice .....	ES-87
ES.9	Public Comment .....	ES-87
	ES.9.1 Issues Raised.....	ES-87
	ES.9.2 Issues to be Resolved .....	ES-91
	ES.9.3 Responses to NOP.....	ES-91
	ES.9.4 PCAC Issues Raised/Resolution .....	ES-91
<b>Chapter 1</b>	<b>Introduction .....</b>	<b>1-1</b>
1.1	Background .....	1-1
	1.1.1 Project Location and Brief Project Overview.....	1-1
	1.1.2 Goods Movement Overview .....	1-4
	1.1.3 Port Intermodal Cargo Transport.....	1-6
	1.1.4 General Intermodal Railyard Operations .....	1-13
	1.1.5 San Pedro Bay Ports Cargo Growth and Port Capacity .....	1-16
1.2	CEQA and the Purposes of an EIR.....	1-25
1.3	Lead, Responsible, and Trustee Agencies.....	1-25
1.4	Scope and Content of the Draft EIR.....	1-28
	1.4.1 Scope of Analysis.....	1-31
	1.4.2 Intended Uses of this Draft EIR .....	1-32
	1.4.3 Draft EIR Organization .....	1-33
1.5	Key Principles Guiding Preparation of this Draft EIR.....	1-34
	1.5.1 Emphasis on Significant Environmental Effects.....	1-34
	1.5.2 Forecasting .....	1-35
	1.5.3 Reliance on Environmental Thresholds and Substantial Evidence.....	1-35
	1.5.4 Disagreement Among Experts.....	1-35
	1.5.5 CEQA Environmental Setting and Baseline .....	1-36
	1.5.6 Authority to Mitigate.....	1-36
	1.5.7 Requirement to Evaluate Alternatives.....	1-36
1.6	Other Environmental Programs.....	1-37
	1.6.1 San Pedro Bay Ports Clean Air Action Plan (CAAP).....	1-37
	1.6.2 Ports Trucks (Heavy Duty Vehicles) Program.....	1-39
	1.6.3 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Locomotive Rule.....	1-39
	1.6.4 California Air Resources Board (CARB) Drayage Truck Regulation .....	1-40
	1.6.5 California Air Resources Board (CARB) Mobile Cargo Handling Equipment Regulation.....	1-40

1.6.6	Memoranda of Understanding (MOU) Between California Air Resources Board (CARB), Union Pacific Railroad (UP) and BNSF Railway (BNSF).....	1-42
1.6.7	Other Environmental Programs -- Air Quality.....	1-42
1.6.8	Port of Los Angeles Leasing Policy .....	1-43
1.6.9	Port of Los Angeles Sustainable Construction Guidelines .....	1-44
1.7	Availability of the Draft EIR .....	1-44
<b>Chapter 2</b>	<b>Project Description.....</b>	<b>2-1</b>
2.1	Introduction and Project Overview .....	2-1
2.1.1	Long-Term Cargo Projections and On-Dock Capacity .....	2-4
2.1.2	Near-Dock and Off-Dock Capacity .....	2-4
2.2	Existing Conditions .....	2-5
2.2.1	Regional Context.....	2-5
2.2.2	Project Setting.....	2-6
2.3	Project Objectives.....	2-10
2.4	Proposed Project .....	2-11
2.4.1	Summary.....	2-11
2.4.2	Proposed Project Elements .....	2-17
2.4.3	Construction Activities and Phasing.....	2-25
2.4.4	Proposed Project Operations.....	2-31
2.5	Alternatives .....	2-35
2.5.1	Background and Evaluation Criteria .....	2-35
2.5.2	Alternatives and Concepts Considered But Rejected From Further Evaluation.....	2-37
2.5.3	Alternatives Evaluated Further in this EIR .....	2-45
2.6	Assessment of Other Goods Movement Concepts.....	2-46
2.6.1	Approaches to Avoiding Building a Near-Dock Yard .....	2-47
2.6.2	Alternative Container Transport Systems .....	2-48
2.6.3	Different Access to the Site .....	2-53
2.7	Project Baseline.....	2-53
2.8	Relationship to Existing Plans.....	2-53
<b>Chapter 3</b>	<b>Environmental Analysis.....</b>	<b>3-1</b>
3.1	Terminology Used in this Environmental Analysis.....	3-2
3.2	Requirements to Evaluate Alternatives .....	3-2

**Section 3.1 Aesthetics/Visual Resources..... 3.1-1**

3.1 Aesthetics/Visual Resources ..... 3.1-1

    3.1.1 Introduction ..... 3.1-1

    3.1.2 Existing Environmental Setting..... 3.1-2

    3.1.3 Applicable Regulations and Laws..... 3.1-25

    3.1.4 Impacts and Mitigation Measures..... 3.1-29

    3.1.5 Significant Unavoidable Impacts..... 3.1-48

**Section 3.2 Air Quality and Meteorology..... 3.2-1**

3.2.1 Introduction ..... 3.2-1

3.2.2 Environmental Setting ..... 3.2-1

3.2.3 Applicable Regulations..... 3.2-16

3.2.4 Impacts and Mitigation Measures..... 3.2-24

3.2.5 Consideration of Project Conditions Subject to  
Approval..... 3.2-95

3.2.6 Mitigation and Lease Measure Monitoring and  
Tracking ..... 3.2-97

3.2.7 Significant Unavoidable Impacts..... 3.2-102

**Section 3.3 Biological Resources ..... 3.3-2**

3.3.1 Introduction ..... 3.3-1

3.3.2 Environmental Setting ..... 3.3-1

3.3.3 Applicable Regulations..... 3.3-9

3.3.4 Environmental Impacts..... 3.3-12

3.3.5 Significant Unavoidable Impacts..... 3.3-19

**Section 3.4 Cultural Resources..... 3.4-1**

3.4.1 Introduction ..... 3.4-1

3.4.2 Environmental Setting ..... 3.4-1

3.4.3 Applicable Regulations..... 3.4-13

3.4.4 Impacts and Mitigation Measures..... 3.4-18

3.4.5 Significant Unavoidable Impacts..... 3.4-23

**Section 3.5 Geology and Soils ..... 3.5-1**

3.5.1 Introduction ..... 3.5-11

3.5.2 Environmental Setting ..... 3.5-11

3.5.3 Applicable Regulations..... 3.5-116

3.5.4 Impacts and Mitigation Measures..... 3.5-118

3.5.5 Significant Unavoidable Impacts..... 3.5-125

**Section 3.6 Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Climate Change ..... 3.6-1**

3.6.1 Introduction ..... 3.6-1

3.6.2 Environmental Setting ..... 3.6-1

3.6.3 Applicable Regulations..... 3.6-7

3.6.4	Impacts and Mitigation Measures .....	3.6-17
3.6.5	Significant Unavoidable Impacts.....	3.6-30
<b>Section 3.7</b>	<b>Hazards and Hazardous Materials.....</b>	<b>3.7-1</b>
3.7.1	Introduction .....	3.7-1
3.7.2	Environmental Setting .....	3.7-1
3.7.3	Applicable Regulations and Laws.....	3.7-8
3.7.4	Impacts and Mitigation Measures .....	3.7-11
3.7.5	Significant Unavoidable Impacts.....	3.7-33
<b>Section 3.8</b>	<b>Land Use .....</b>	<b>3.8-1</b>
3.8.1	Introduction .....	3.8-1
3.8.2	Environmental Setting .....	3.8-1
3.8.3	Applicable Project Area Plans, Programs and Regulations .....	3.8-8
3.8.4	Impacts and Mitigation Measures .....	3.8-20
3.8.5	Significant Unavoidable Impacts.....	3.8-30
<b>Section 3.9</b>	<b>Noise .....</b>	<b>3.9-1</b>
3.9.1	Introduction .....	3.9-1
3.9.2	Environmental Setting .....	3.9-1
3.9.3	Applicable Regulations .....	3.9-24
3.9.4	Impacts and Mitigation Measures .....	3.9-33
3.9.5	Significant Unavoidable Impacts.....	3.9-78
<b>Section 3.10</b>	<b>Transportation/Circulation.....</b>	<b>3.10-1</b>
3.10.1	Introduction .....	3.10-1
3.10.2	Environmental Setting .....	3.10-1
3.10.3	Vehicular Traffic and Rail Impacts and Mitigation Measures .....	3.10-20
3.10.4	Significant Unavoidable Impacts.....	3.10-59
<b>Section 3.11</b>	<b>Public Services and Utilities .....</b>	<b>3.11-2</b>
3.11.1	Introduction .....	3.11-1
3.11.2	Environmental Setting .....	3.11-1
3.11.3	Applicable Regulations .....	3.11-13
3.11.4	Impacts and Mitigation.....	3.11-19
3.11.5	Significant Unavoidable Impacts.....	3.11-37
<b>Section 3.12</b>	<b>Water Resources .....</b>	<b>3.12-1</b>
3.12.1	Introduction .....	3.12-1
3.12.2	Environmental Setting .....	3.12-1
3.12.3	Applicable Regulations .....	3.12-9
3.12.4	Impacts and Mitigation Measures .....	3.12-16
3.12.5	Significant Unavoidable Impacts.....	3.12-43

**Chapter 4. Cumulative Analysis ..... 4-1**

4.1 Introduction ..... 4-1

4.1.1 Requirements for Cumulative Impact Analysis ..... 4-1

4.1.2 Projects Considered in the Cumulative Analysis..... 4-2

4.2 Cumulative Impact Analysis ..... 4-21

4.2.1 Aesthetics..... 4-21

4.2.2 Air Quality and Meteorology ..... 4-24

4.2.3 Biological Resources ..... 4-31

4.2.4 Cultural Resources..... 4-34

4.2.5 Geology..... 4-36

4.2.6 Greenhouse Gases ..... 4-42

4.2.7 Hazards and Hazardous Materials ..... 4-43

4.2.8 Land Use..... 4-50

4.2.9 Noise..... 4-54

4.2.10 Transportation and Circulation..... 4-59

4.2.11 Utilities and Public Services ..... 4-101

4.2.12 Water Resources..... 4-108

4.3 Combined Analysis of SCIG and ICTF Facilities ..... 4-115

4.3.1 Combined Air Quality Analysis ..... 4-115

4.3.2 Combined Noise Analysis..... 4-137

4.3.3 Combined Transportation Analysis..... 4-170

**Chapter 5. Alternatives..... 5-1**

5.1 Introduction ..... 5-1

5.1.1 Evaluation of Alternatives ..... 5-1

5.2 Alternative 1: No Project ..... 5-2

5.2.1 Project Description ..... 5-2

5.2.2 Impact Analysis ..... 5-3

5.3 Alternative 2: Reduced Project..... 5-29

5.3.1 Project Description ..... 5-29

5.3.2 Impact Analysis ..... 5-30

5.4 Cumulative Analysis of Alternatives ..... 5-67

5.4.1 No Project Alternative..... 5-67

5.4.2 Reduced Project Alternative ..... 5-85

5.5 Comparison of Alternatives and the Proposed Project ..... 5-110

5.5.1 Impacts and Mitigations..... 5-110

5.5.2 Alternatives and Resources With Unavoidable Significant Impacts ..... 5-161

5.5.3 Alternatives and Resources With Significant Impacts That Can Be Mitigated to Less Than Significant..... 5-166

5.5.4 Alternatives and Resources With Less Than Significant Impacts ..... 5-167

5.5.5 Environmentally Superior Alternative ..... 5-167

**Chapter 6. Environmental Justice ..... 6-1**

6.1 Introduction ..... 6-1

6.2 Environmental Setting ..... 6-1

6.3 Environmental Justice Policies and Programs ..... 6-7

6.3.1 Executive Order 12898: Federal Actions to Address Environmental Justice in Minority Populations and Low-Income Populations ..... 6-7

6.3.2 California Government Code Sections 65041-65049; Public Resources Code Sections 71110-71116 ..... 6-7

6.3.3 South Coast Air Quality Management District: Environmental Justice Program ..... 6-8

6.3.4 City of Los Angeles General Plan ..... 6-9

6.4 Assessment ..... 6-9

6.4.1 Methodology ..... 6-9

6.4.2 Proposed Project ..... 6-11

6.4.3 Alternative 1: No Project Alternative ..... 6-13

6.4.4 Alternative 2: Reduced Project ..... 6-13

6.5 BNSF Outreach Efforts ..... 6-13

**Chapter 7. Socioeconomics and Environmental Quality ..... 7-1**

7.1 Introduction ..... 7-1

7.2 Environmental Setting ..... 7-1

7.2.1 Socioeconomics ..... 7-1

7.2.2 Environmental Quality ..... 7-22

7.3 Project Effects Related to Socioeconomics and Environmental Quality ..... 7-26

7.3.1 Project Effects Related to Socioeconomics ..... 7-26

7.3.2 Project Effects Related to Environmental Quality ..... 7-31

**Chapter 8.0 Growth-Inducing Impacts ..... 8-1**

8.1 Introduction ..... 8-1

8.2 Summary of Growth-Inducing Impacts ..... 8-1

8.2.1 Direct Growth-Inducing Impacts ..... 8-1

8.2.2 Indirect Growth-Inducing Impacts ..... 8-3

**Chapter 9. Significant Irreversible Changes ..... 9-1**

9.1 Introduction ..... 9-1

9.2 Analysis of Irreversible Changes ..... 9-1

**Chapter 10.0 References..... 10-1**

**Chapter 11.0 List of Preparers and Contributors ..... 11-1**

    11.1 Los Angeles Harbor Department..... 11-1

    11.2 ENVIRON ..... 11-1

        11.2.1 Project Management Team ..... 11-1

        11.2.2 Technical Team..... 11-1

        11.2.3 Production Team..... 11-1

    11.3 AECOM ..... 11-2

    11.4 ITERIS, Inc. .... 11-2

    11.5 Acoustics Group International ..... 11-2

    11.6 Castle Environmental Consulting ..... 11-2

    11.7 MBI Media ..... 11-2

**Chapter 12.0 Acronyms..... 12-1**

<b>Figure</b>	<b>Page</b>
Figure ES-1. Project Site and Vicinity.....	ES-5
Figure ES-2a. Proposed Project at Buildout.....	ES-6
Figure ES-2b. Proposed Project at Buildout.....	ES-7
Figure 1-1. Regional location of proposed SCIG Project.....	1-2
Figure 1-2. Overview of the Goods Movement Process (METRO, 2008).....	1-5
Figure 1-3. Typical Range of Cargo Transport Modes.....	1-7
Figure 1-4. Distribution of Containers by Mode and Distribution of Direct Intermodal Containers by Rail (Source: Parsons, 2006).....	1-8
Figure 1-5. Transloaded Intermodal Cargo Flow. ....	1-8
Figure 1-6. Direct Intermodal Cargo Flow. ....	1-9
Figure 1-7. Existing and Proposed On-Dock Railyards in the San Pedro Bay Ports.....	1-10
Figure 1-8. Location of Existing Near-Dock and Off-Dock Railyards.....	1-12
Figure 1-9. General Depiction of Train Loading/Unloading at an Intermodal Railyard. ....	1-14
Figure 1-10. Assembled Container Train.....	1-15
Figure 1-11. 2007 (Base Case/Base Share) and 2009 Cargo Demand Forecast Comparison, in thousands of TEUs (Tioga, 2009). ....	1-18
Figure 1-12. 2007 Cargo Demand Forecast and Capacity of the San Pedro Bay Ports, in Millions of TEUs. ....	1-19
Figure 1-13. 2009 Cargo Demand Forecast and Capacity of the San Pedro Bay Ports, in Millions of TEUs. ....	1-20



Figure 2-1. Regional Location Map. .... 2-3

Figure 2-2. Proposed Project Site Location. .... 2-9

Figure 2-3a. Proposed Project Site Plan. .... 2-13

Figure 2-3b. Proposed Project Site Plan. .... 2-14

Figure 2-4. SCIG Designated Truck Routes. .... 2-16

Figure 2-5. Relocation Sites. .... 2-19

Figure 2-6. Proposed PCH Overpass. .... 2-23

Figure 2-7. Proposed Dominguez Channel Rail Bridge. .... 2-24

Figure 2-8. Construction Schedule. .... 2-25

Figure 2-10. Potential Locations for Near-Dock Railyards Inside the Ports. .... 2-43

  

Figure 3.1-1. Key Viewpoint Map. .... 3.1-9

Figure 3.1-2. Key View Point 1 – View of the Primary Project Site from Pacific Coast Highway Looking North. .... 3.1-10

Figure 3.1-3. Key View Point 2 – View of Primary Project Site from Terminal Island Freeway Looking West/Southwest. .... 3.1-11

Figure 3.1-4. Key View Point 3 – View of Primary Project Site from Terminal Island Freeway Looking West/Northwest. .... 3.1-13

Figure 3.1-5. Key View Point 4 - View from Sepulveda Boulevard Bridge From Intersection of Terminal Island and Sepulveda Boulevard. .... 3.1-15

Figure 3.1-6. View of the California Cartage Relocation Site Looking Southwest from Farragut Avenue. .... 3.1-17

Figure 3.1-7. View of the Fast Lane Relocation Site Looking West from Farragut Avenue. .... 3.1-18

Figure 3.1-8. View Towards the ACTA Relocation Site Looking East from the Intersection of Grant Street and Goodrich Avenue. .... 3.1-19

Figure 3.1-9. Key View Point 1 – Nighttime view of the Primary Project Site from Pacific Coast Highway Looking North. .... 3.1-21

Figure 3.1-10. Key View Point 2 – Nighttime view of Primary Project Site from San Gabriel Avenue Looking West/Southwest. .... 3.1-22

Figure 3.1-11. Key View Point 3 – Nighttime view of Primary Project Site from Hudson Park Looking West/Northwest. .... 3.1-23

Figure 3.1-12. Key View Point 4 - Nighttime view from Sepulveda Boulevard/Willow Street and Terminal Island Freeway Looking West/Southwest. .... 3.1-24

Figure 3.1-13. Key Viewpoint 1 – Visual Simulation (View from PCH). .... 3.1-33

Figure 3.1-14. Key Viewpoint 2- Visual Simulation (Looking West/Southwest from San Gabriel Avenue, Adjacent East of the Terminal Island Freeway). .... 3.1-35

Figure 3.1-15. Key Viewpoint 2 – Visual Simulation with Soundwall (Looking West/Southwest from San Gabriel Avenue, adjacent East of the Terminal Island Freeway)..... 3.1-36

Figure 3.1-16. Key Viewpoint 3 – Visual Simulation (Looking West/Northwest from Hudson Park). ..... 3.1-37

Figure 3.1-17. Key Viewpoint 3 – Visual Simulation with Soundwall (Looking West/Northwest from Hudson Park). ..... 3.1-38

Figure 3.1-18. Key Viewpoint 4 – Visual Simulation (Looking West/Southwest from Sepulveda Boulevard /Willow Street and Terminal Island Freeway Intersection)..... 3.1-40

Figure 3.1-19. Key Viewpoint 2 – Nighttime Visual Simulation (Looking West/Southwest from San Gabriel Avenue, adjacent East of the Terminal Island Freeway)..... 3.1-42

Figure 3.1-20. Key Viewpoint 2 – Nighttime Visual Simulation with Soundwall (Looking West/Southwest from San Gabriel Avenue, adjacent East of the Terminal Island Freeway). ..... 3.1-43

Figure 3.1-21. Key Viewpoint 3 – Nighttime Visual Simulation (Looking West/Northwest from Hudson Park). ..... 3.1-44

Figure 3.1-22. Key Viewpoint 3 – Nighttime Visual Simulation with Soundwall (Looking West/Northwest from Hudson Park). ..... 3.1-45

  

Figure 3.2-1. Locations of sensitive receptors in the Vicinity of the Proposed Project site. .... 3.2-15

Figure 3.2-2. NOx Emission Trends for the Proposed Project Relative to the CEQA Baseline. .... 3.2-60

Figure 3.2-3. PM10 Emission Trends for the Proposed Project Relative to the CEQA Baseline. .... 3.2-61

Figure 3.2-4. NOx Emissions by Source Category for the Mitigated Project. .... 3.2-62

Figure 3.2-5. PM10 Emissions by Source Category for the Mitigated Project. .... 3.2-63

Figure 3.2-6. 1-hour NO2 Ground-Level Concentration for Unmitigated Project Plus Background. .... 3.2-68

Figure 3.2-7. Annual NO2 Ground-Level Concentration for Unmitigated Project Plus Background. .... 3.2-69

Figure 3.2-8. 24-Hour PM10 Ground-Level Concentration for Unmitigated Project Minus Baseline. .... 3.2-70

Figure 3.2-8. 24-Hour PM10 Ground-Level Concentration for Unmitigated Project Minus Baseline. .... 3.2-70

Figure 3.2-9. Annual PM10 Ground-Level Concentration for Unmitigated Project Minus Baseline. .... 3.2-71

Figure 3.2-10. 24-Hour PM2.5 Ground-Level Concentration for Unmitigated Project Minus Baseline. .... 3.2-72

Figure 3.2-10. 24-Hour PM2.5 Ground-Level Concentration for Unmitigated Project Minus Baseline. .... 3.2-72

Figure 3.2-11. 24-Hour PM10 Ground-Level Concentration for Mitigated Project Minus Baseline. .... 3.2-76

Figure 3.2-12. Annual PM10 Ground-Level Concentration for Mitigated Project Minus Baseline. .... 3.2-77

Figure 3.2-13. 24-Hour PM2.5 Ground-Level Concentration for Mitigated Project Minus Baseline. .... 3.2-78

Figure 3.2-14. CEQA Baseline Residential Cancer Risk. .... 3.2-88

Figure 3.2-15. Unmitigated Proposed Project Residential Cancer Risk. .... 3.2-89

Figure 3.2-16. Unmitigated Proposed Project minus CEQA Baseline Residential Cancer Risk. .... 3.2-90

  

Figure 3.3-1. Vegetation Map. .... 3.3-3

  

Figure 3.4-1. Cultural Resources Survey Area. .... 3.4-2

Figure 3.4-2. Cultural Resources Evaluated. .... 3.4-10

  

Figure 3.5-1. Site Location Map. .... 3.5-2

Figure 3.5-2. Regional Geological Map. .... 3.5-3

Figure 3.5-3. Fault Zone Location Map. .... 3.5-7

Figure 3.5-4. Fault Location Map. .... 3.5-10

Figure 3.5-5. Seismic Hazard Map. .... 3.5-11

Figure 3.5-6. Maximum Water Levels for the Palos Verdes Landslide II Scenario. .... 3.5-14

  

Figure 3.8-1. Long Beach Redevelopment Project Areas. .... 3.8-7

Figure 3.8-2. Port of Los Angeles Community Plan. .... 3.8-10

Figure 3.8-3. Wilmington – Harbor City Community Plan. .... 3.8-14

Figure 3.8-4. Carson General Plan. .... 3.8-15

  

Figure 3.9-1. Typical Levels of Ground-Borne Vibration. .... 3.9-6

Figure 3.9-2. Location of the Noise and Vibration Measurements. .... 3.9-9

Figure 3.9-3. FTA Noise Impact Criteria for Transit Projects. .... 3.9-28

Figure 3.9-4. FICAN 1997 Recommended Sleep Disturbance Dose-Response Relationship. .... 3.9-32

Figure 3.9-5. USEPA Speech Intelligibility Curve. .... 3.9-33

Figure 3.9-6. Location of the Recommended Sound Walls for Mitigation. .... 3.9-60

  

Figure 3.10-1. Proposed Project Study Area and Study Intersections. .... 3.10-4

Figure 3.10-2. Proposed Project Study Area and Study Freeway Locations. .... 3.10-6

Figure 3.10-3. Map of Southern California Freight Railroad Lines. .... 3.10-19

Figure 3.10-4. Total Arrivals and Departures for an Isolated Blockage..... 3.10-24

Figure 3.10-5. SCIG Designated Truck Routes..... 3.10-27

Figure 3.10-6. Proposed Project Trip Distribution..... 3.10-29

Figure 3.11-1. Proposal Site Plan Showing Existing Utilities and the New  
Intermodal Facility..... 3.11-8

Figure 3.11-2. Proposed Site Plan Showing Existing Utilities and the Relocation  
Site Areas. .... 3.11-9

Figure 3.12-1. Location of Dominguez Watershed and Dominguez Channel..... 3.12-4

Figure 3.12-2. Storm Drains in SCIG Primary Project Area. .... 3.12-8

Figure 4-1. Cumulative Projects Location Map. .... 4-4

Figure 4-2. 2016 Proposed Project Trip Distribution. .... 4-62

Figure 4-3. 2023 Proposed Project Trip Distribution. .... 4-63

Figure 4-4. 2035 and 2046 Proposed Project Trip Distribution..... 4-64

Figure 4-5. Isopleths of Individual Lifetime Cancer Risk – Combined SCIG and  
ICTF CEQA Baselines – Baseline Impact..... 4-124

Figure 4-6. Maximum HRA Receptor Locations – Combined SCIG and ICTF  
CEQA Baseline. .... 4-125

Figure 4-7. Isopleths of Individual Lifetime Cancer Risk – Combined SCIG and  
ICTF Proposed Projects – Project Impact..... 4-126

Figure 4-8. Isopleths of Individual Lifetime Cancer Risk – Combined SCIG and  
ICTF Proposed Projects – Project Increment. .... 124-7

Figure 4-9. Maximum HRA Receptor Locations – Combined SCIG and ICTF  
Proposed Projects..... 4-128

Figure 4-10. Isopleths of Individual Lifetime Cancer Risk – Combined SCIG and  
ICTF No Project Alternatives – No Project Impact. .... 4-134

Figure 4-11. Isopleths of Individual Lifetime Cancer Risk – Combined SCIG and  
ICTF No Project Alternatives – No Project Increment. .... 4-135

Figure 4-12. Maximum HRA Receptor Locations – Combined SCIG and ICTF No  
Project Alternatives. .... 4-136

Figure 4-13. Location of the SCIG Noise Receivers..... 4-142

Figure 4-14. Location of the ICTF Noise Receivers. .... 4-143

Figure 6-1. Percent Minority Population within 1-mile Buffer or Project  
Boundary..... 6-4

Figure 6-2. Percent Low Income Population within 1 mile Buffer or Project  
Boundary..... 6-5

Figure 7-1. Civilian Employment in Southern California (1990-2006). .... 7-3

Figure 7-2. Unemployment Rate in Southern California..... 7-4

Figure 7-3. Housing Units in Los Angeles County (1967-2006). ..... 7-16

**Tables** **Page**

Table ES-1. Project Summary Matrix. .... ES-11

Table ES-2. Summary of Proposed Project and Alternatives at Full Buildout. .... ES-12

Table ES-3. Summary of Potential Significant Impacts and Mitigation for the Proposed Project and Alternatives..... ES-28

Table ES-4. Lease Measures (LM) and Project Conditions (PC) Subject to Approval..... ES-85

Table ES-5. Summary of Key NOP Comments..... ES-87

Table ES-6. Summary of PCAC Issues. .... ES-92

  

Table 1-1. 2007 Base Case/Base Share Cargo Demand Forecast, in thousands of TEUs (Tioga, 2007)..... 1-17

Table 1-2. Mode Split of Containers Handled at the Ports of Los Angeles and Long Beach in 2008..... 1-21

Table 1-3. Existing and Planned On-dock Railyards (see Figure 1-8 for locations)..... 1-22

Table 1-4. 2007 San Pedro Bay Ports Direct Intermodal Cargo Forecast. .... 1-23

Table 1-5. 2009 San Pedro Bay Ports Direct Intermodal Cargo Forecast. .... 1-23

Table 1-6. Agencies Expected to Use this EIR. .... 1-26

Table 1-7. Summary of Key NOP Comments..... 1-28

Table 1-8. Organization and Contents of the Draft EIR. .... 1-33

  

Table 2-1. Existing Land Uses within the Project Site. .... 2-6

Table 2-2. Summary of Proposed SCIG Railyard Features and Operations. .... 2-15

Table 2-3. Relocation of Four Existing Tenant Operations on the Proposed Project Site. .... 2-18

Table 2-4. Potential Sites for a San Pedro Bay Near-Dock Intermodal Railyard Outside the Ports (Source: Parsons, 2004). .... 2-37

Table 2-5. Traffic At the Project Site Under the No Project Alternative..... 2-46

Table 2-6. Traffic at the Project Site Under the Reduced Project Alternative..... 2-46

Table 2-7. Respondents to the 2009 Request For Concepts and Solutions..... 2-51

Table 2-8. Applicable Statutes, Plans, Policies, and Other Regulatory Requirements..... 2-54

  

Table 3.1-1. Quantitative Nighttime Light Measurements at Project Site and Key View Locations. .... 3.1-20

Table 3.1-2. Summary Matrix of Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Aesthetic Effects Associated with the Proposed Project. .... 3.1-49

Table 3.1-3. Mitigation Monitoring for Aesthetics..... 3.1-50

Table 3.2-1. Adverse Effects Associated with the Criteria Pollutants. d ..... 3.2-5

Table 3.2-2. Maximum Pollutant Concentrations Measured at the North Long Beach Monitoring Station. .... 3.2-7

Table 3.2-3. Maximum Pollutant Concentrations Measured for the Port Air Quality Monitoring Program. .... 3.2-8

Table 3.2-4. CEQA Baseline (2005) Average Daily Operational Emissions. .... 3.2-13

Table 3.2-5. CEQA Baseline (2005) Peak Daily Operational Emissions..... 3.2-13

Table 3.2-6. Regulations and Agreements Assumed in the Unmitigated Project Construction Emissions..... 3.2-26

Table 3.2-7. Regulations and Agreements Assumed in the Unmitigated Project Operational Emissions. .... 3.2-30

Table 3.2-8. SCAQMD Thresholds for Construction Emissions. .... 3.2-35

Table 3.2-9. SCAQMD Thresholds for Ambient Air Quality Concentrations Associated with Proposed Project Construction..... 3.2-36

Table 3.2-10. SCAQMD Thresholds for Operational Emissions..... 3.2-36

Table 3.2-11. SCAQMD Thresholds for Ambient Air Quality Concentrations Associated with Project Operations. .... 3.2-37

Table 3.2-12. Summary of Peak Daily Construction Emissions — Proposed Project without Mitigation..... 3.2-39

Table 3.2-13. Summary of Peak Daily Construction Emissions Overlapped with Relocated Tenant Operations during Construction Period — Proposed Project without Mitigation. .... 3.2-40

Table 3.2-14. Summary of Peak Daily Construction Emissions — Proposed Project with Mitigation. .... 3.2-45

Table 3.2-15. Summary of Peak Daily Construction Emissions Overlapped with Relocated Tenant Operations during Construction Period — Proposed Project with Mitigation. .... 3.2-46

Table 3.2-16. Maximum Offsite NO<sub>2</sub>, CO, and SO<sub>2</sub> Concentrations Associated with Construction of the Proposed Project (With Tenant Operations). .... 3.2-48

Table 3.2-17. Maximum Offsite PM<sub>10</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub> Concentrations Associated with Construction of the Proposed Project (With Tenant Operations). .... 3.2-48

Table 3.2-18. Maximum Offsite NO<sub>2</sub>, CO, and SO<sub>2</sub> Concentrations Associated with Construction of the Proposed Project (No Tenant Operations) ..... 3.2-49

Table 3.2-19. Maximum Offsite PM<sub>10</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub> Concentrations Associated with Construction of the Proposed Project (No Tenant Operations). .... 3.2-49

Table 3.2-20. Maximum Offsite NO<sub>2</sub>, CO, and SO<sub>2</sub> Concentrations Associated with Construction of the Proposed Project (With Tenant Operations) – with Mitigation..... 3.2-50

Table 3.2-21. Maximum Offsite PM<sub>10</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub> Concentrations Associated with Construction of the Proposed Project (With Tenant Operations) – with Mitigation..... 3.2-51

Table 3.2-22. Maximum Offsite NO<sub>2</sub>, CO, and SO<sub>2</sub> Concentrations Associated with Construction of the Proposed Project (No Tenant Operations) – with Mitigation..... 3.2-51

Table 3.2-23. Maximum Offsite PM<sub>10</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub> Concentrations Associated with Construction of the Proposed Project (No Tenant Operations) – with Mitigation..... 3.2-52

Table 3.2-24. Average Daily Operational Emissions without Mitigation– Proposed Project. .... 3.2-53

Table 3.2-25. Peak Daily Operational Emissions without Mitigation– Proposed Project..... 3.2-56

Table 3.2-26. Comparison between San Pedro Bay Ports CAAP Control Measures and Proposed Project Features..... 3.2-64

Table 3.2-27. Maximum Offsite NO<sub>2</sub>, CO, and SO<sub>2</sub> Concentrations Associated with Operation of the Proposed Project. .... 3.2-66

Table 3.2-28. Maximum Offsite PM<sub>10</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub> Concentrations Associated with Operation of the Proposed Project. .... 3.2-66

Table 3.2-29. Maximum Offsite NO<sub>2</sub>, CO, and SO<sub>2</sub> Concentrations Associated with Operation of the Proposed Project – with Mitigation..... 3.2-74

Table 3.2-30. Maximum Offsite PM<sub>10</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub> Concentrations Associated with Operation of the Proposed Project – with Mitigation..... 3.2-75

Table 3.2-31. Maximum Predicted CO Concentrations at the Anaheim St./E. I St/W. 9th St. Intersection – Proposed Project..... 3.2-81

Table 3.2-32. Maximum Cancer Risk, Chronic and Acute Hazard Indices Associated with the Unmitigated Proposed Project. .... 3.2-85

Table 3.2-33. Maximum Cancer Risk, Chronic and Acute Hazard Indices Associated with the Mitigated Proposed Project. .... 3.2-91

Table 3.2-34. Summary Matrix of Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Air Quality Associated with the Proposed Project. .... 3.2-94

Table 3.2-35. Low-Emission Drayage Truck Phase-in Schedule ..... 3.2-96

Table 3.2-36. Mitigation and Lease Measure Monitoring and Project Conditions for Air Quality and Meteorology. .... 3.2-97

  

Table 3.3-1. Cover Types within the Project BSA. .... 3.3-4

Table 3.3-2. Sensitive Wildlife Species within the Project BSA..... 3.3-7

Table 3.3-3. Summary of Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Biological Resources Associated with the Proposed Project..... 3.3-20

Table 3.3-4. Mitigation Monitoring for Biological Resources. .... 3.3-25

Table 3.4-1. Summary Matrix of Potential Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Cultural Resources Associated with the Proposed Project. .... 3.4-24

Table 3.5-1. Major Regional Active Faults within 60 miles of the Project Site. .... 3.5-5

Table 3.5-2. CEQA Impact Determinations of the Proposed Project and Alternatives. .... 3.5-25

Table 3.6-1. Baseline (2005) Annual GHG Emissions. .... 3.6-6

Table 3.6-2. Summary of Annual Construction Emissions without Relocated Tenant Operations during Construction Period-Proposed Project. .... 3.6-21

Table 3.6-3. Summary of Annual Construction Emissions including Relocated Tenant Operations during Construction Period-Proposed Project. .... 3.6-22

Table 3.6-4. Summary of Annual Operational Emissions - Proposed Project. .... 3.6-22

Table 3.6-5. Summary Matrix of Impacts and Mitigation Measures for GHG Associated with the Proposed Project. .... 3.6-29

Table 3.6-6. Mitigation Monitoring for GHG. .... 3.6-30

Table 3.7-1. Risk Matrix. .... 3.7-13

Table 3.7-2. Criticality and Frequency Classifications. .... 3.7-14

Table 3.7-3. Existing and Projected SCIG Truck Accidents. .... 3.7-28

Table 3.7-4. Summary of Impacts and Mitigation Related to Hazards and Hazardous Materials. .... 3.7-34

Table 3.7-5. Lease Measure Tracking for Hazards. .... 3.7-35

Table 3.8-1. Distance from Proposed Project Site to Residential, School/Park, and Other Non-Industrial Land Uses. .... 3.8-3

Table 3.8-3. Summary Matrix of Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Land Use Associated with the Proposed Project and Alternatives. .... 3.8-31

Table 3.9-1. Common Acoustical Terminology. .... 3.9-2

Table 3.9-2. Typical A-weighted Exterior and Interior Noise Levels. .... 3.9-3

Table 3.9-3. Human Response to Different Levels of Ground-Borne Vibration. .... 3.9-7

Table 3.9-4. Summary of Existing Ambient Noise Measurement Data. .... 3.9-11

Table 3.9-5. Summary of Baseline Exterior Lmax and SEL at Long-Term Noise Receptors. .... 3.9-17

Table 3.9-6. Summary of Estimated Baseline Interior Lmax and SEL at Long-Term Noise Receptors. .... 3.9-18

Table 3.9-7. Summary of Classroom Noise Reduction Measurements. .... 3.9-19

Table 3.9-8. Summary of the Ambient Ground-Borne Vibration Measurement Data. .... 3.9-21



Table 3.9-9 Calculated Baseline Roadway Traffic Noise Levels..... 3.9-22

Table 3.9-9 Calculated Baseline Roadway Traffic Noise Levels (concluded). ..... 3.9-23

Table 3.9-10 City of Los Angeles Noise Compatibility Guidelines..... 3.9-24

Table 3.9-11. City of Long Beach Exterior Noise Limits by Receiving Land Use..... 3.9-26

Table 3.9-12. City of Long Beach Interior Noise Limits..... 3.9-26

Table 3.9-13. Land Use Categories and Metrics for Transit Noise Impact  
Criteria. .... 3.9-28

Table 3.9-14. Noise Abatement Criteria (NAC). .... 3.9-29

Table 3.9-15. FTA Ground-borne Vibration (GBV) Impact Criteria for General  
Assessment. .... 3.9-31

Table 3.9-16. Summary of Predicted Noise Levels From On-Site Sources. .... 3.9-39

Table 3.9-17. Summary of SCIG Operational Train Noise Levels for San Pedro  
Branch Line..... 3.9-40

Table 3.9-18. Calculated Existing Plus Project Roadway Traffic Noise Levels. .... 3.9-42

Table 3.9-18. Calculated Existing Plus Project Roadway Traffic Noise Levels  
(concluded). .... 3.9-43

Table 3.9-19. Project Roadway Traffic Noise Level Increase. .... 3.9-44

Table 3.9-19. Project Roadway Traffic Noise Level Increase (concluded)..... 3.9-45

Table 3.9-20. Future Year 2023 Project Roadway Traffic Noise Level, CNEL,  
Increase..... 3.9-46

Table 3.9-20. Year 2023 Project Roadway Traffic Noise Level Increase  
(concluded). .... 3.9-47

Table 3.9-21. Summary of the Predicted SCIG Train Horn SEL at Nearby  
Residences and Sleep Disturbance Assessment. .... 3.9-49

Table 3.9-22. Summary of the Predicted Daytime Construction Noise Levels for  
SCIG Construction..... 3.9-51

Table 3.9-23. Summary of the Predicted Nighttime Construction Noise Levels  
for SCIG Construction. .... 3.9-51

Table 3.9-24. Summary of the Project’s Construction Noise Levels within  
Classrooms. .... 3.9-53

Table 3.9-25. Predicted Operational Noise Levels for the Proposed Project. .... 3.9-55

Table 3.9-26. Summary of the Proposed Project’s Operational Noise Levels  
within Classrooms (Including Relocated Tenants). .... 3.9-57

Table 3.9-27. Summary of the Predicted Daytime Construction Noise Levels for  
SCIG Construction with Mitigation..... 3.9-62

Table 3.9-28. Predicted Operational Noise Levels for the Proposed Project with  
Mitigation..... 3.9-63

Table 3.9-29. Vibration Source Levels for Construction Equipment. .... 3.9-65

Table 3.9-30. Predicted Construction Vibration Levels. .... 3.9-65

Table 3.9-31. Predicted Future Train Vibration on the San Pedro Branch Line..... 3.9-66

Table 3.9-32. Summary of the Predicted Nighttime Construction Noise SEL for  
SCIG Construction and Sleep Disturbance Assessment..... 3.9-68

Table 3.9-33. Summary of the Predicted SCIG Train Horn SEL at Nearby Residences and Sleep Disturbance Assessment. .... 3.9-68

Table 3.9-34. Summary of the Predicted Daytime Construction Noise within Classrooms and Speech Intelligibility Assessment..... 3.9-69

Table 3.9-35. Summary of the Project’s Operational Noise within Classrooms and Speech Intelligibility Assessment. .... 3.9-70

Table 3.9-36. Predicted SCIG Train Horn SEL within Classrooms and Speech Intelligibility Assessment. .... 3.9-71

Table 3.9-37. Summary of the Predicted SCIG Train Horn SEL at Nearby Carson Residences and Sleep Disturbance Assessment. .... 3.9-74

Table 3.9-38. Summary Matrix of Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Noise Associated with the Proposed Project. .... 3.9-75

Table 3.9-39. Mitigation Monitoring for Noise. .... 3.9-77

  

Table 3.10-1. Level of Service Criteria—Signalized Intersections. .... 3.10-8

Table 3.10-2. Freeway Level of Service Criteria. .... 3.10-9

Table 3.10-3. LOS Criteria for Freeway Segments..... 3.10-9

Table 3.10-4. LOS Criteria for Merge and Diverge Areas..... 3.10-10

Table 3.10.5. LOS Criteria for Weave Areas..... 3.10-10

Table 3.10-6. Existing Conditions Intersection Level of Service..... 3.10-11

Table 3.10-7. Existing Conditions Freeway Level of Service. .... 3.10-12

Table 3.10-8. Existing Conditions Ramp Level of Service. .... 3.10-13

Table 3.10-9. Existing Conditions Weaving Section Level of Service. .... 3.10-13

Table 3.10-10. Existing Conditions Highway Segment Level of Service. .... 3.10-14

Table 3.10-11. Existing Transit Service. .... 3.10-15

Table 3.10-12. Baseline Tenant Peak Hour Trip Generation (in Passenger Car Equivalentents)..... 3.10-25

Table 3.10-13. Drayage Truck Trips per Intermodal Lift for Baseline Intermodal Facilities and the Proposed Project..... 3.10-26

Table 3.10-14. Hourly Factors Applied to Average Daily Traffic (ADT), by County.. 3.10-30

Table 3.10-15. Time Periods of the Day..... 3.10-32

Table 3.10-16. Alameda Corridor Train Volume by Time of Day, 2010..... 3.10-32

Table 3.10-17. BNSF Train Volume at Highgrove in Riverside County by Time of Day, 2010. .... 3.10-32

Table 3.10-18. BNSF San Bernardino Subdivision, from Hobart Yard to San Bernardino, 2005..... 3.10-33

Table 3.10-19. BNSF Cajon Subdivision, from San Bernardino to Barstow, 2005. .. 3.10-36

Table 3.10-20. Threshold of Significance for Rail Impacts..... 3.10-37

Table 3.10-21. Proposed Project Daily Trip Generation. .... 3.10-39

Table 3.10-22. Proposed Project and Net Change in Pacific Coast Highway Entrance Peak Hour Trip Generation (in Passenger Car Equivalentents)..... 3.10-39

Table 3.10-23. Proposed Project Site (Sepulveda Driveways) and Relocation Site Peak Hour Trip Generation (in Passenger Car Equivalents). ..... 3.10-40

Table 3.10-24. Intersection Level of Service Analysis – Baseline vs. Proposed Project..... 3.10-43

Table 3.10-25. Intersection Level of Service Analysis – Baseline Plus Proposed Project..... 3.10-44

Table 3.10-26. Baseline Plus Proposed Project Freeway Contribution. .... 3.10-46

Table 3.10-27. Baseline Plus Proposed Project Freeway Level of Service Analysis. 3.10-47

Table 3.10-28. Baseline Plus Proposed Project Conditions Ramp Level of Service. 3.10-48

Table 3.10-29. Baseline Plus Proposed Project Conditions Weaving Section Level of Service..... 3.10-48

Table 3.10-30. Baseline Plus Proposed Project Conditions Highway Segment Level of Service..... 3.10-49

Table 3.10-31. Train Volumes, Baseline and Proposed Project 2035. .... 3.10-50

Table 3.10-32. BNSF San Bernardino Subdivision, from Hobart Yard to San Bernardino, Baseline Plus Proposed Project..... 3.10-51

Table 3.10-33. BNSF Cajon Subdivision, from San Bernardino to Barstow, Baseline Plus Proposed Project. .... 3.10-54

Table 3.10-34. Summary Matrix of Potential Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Transportation and Circulation Associated with the Proposed Project..... 3.10-58

Table 3.11-1. City of Carson Fire Station Response Times. .... 3.11-4

Table 3.11-2. City of Long Beach Fire Stations Near the Proposed Project..... 3.11-4

Table 3.11-3. Los Angeles County Sheriff’s Department. Response Times for the City of Carson, 2004. .... 3.11-6

Table 3.11-4. Long Beach Police Department Annual Crime Statistics. .... 3.11-7

Table 3.11-5. Public Services Assessment..... 3.11-22

Table 3.11-6. Water Demand..... 3.11-25

Table 3.11-7. Wastewater Generation. .... 3.11-27

Table 3.11-8. Solid Waste Generation..... 3.11-30

Table 3.11-9. Summary of Impacts and Mitigation Related to Public Services and Utilities. .... 3.11-34

Table 3.11-10. Mitigation Monitoring for Public Services and Utilities. .... 3.11-36

Table 3.12-1. 2006 303(d) List of Pollutant Impairments for the Dominguez Channel. .... 3.12-5

Table 3.12-2. 2006 303(d) Beneficial Use Designations for the Dominguez Channel. .... 3.12-6

Table 3.12-3. 2006 303(d) Best Management Practice Expected Pollutant Removal Efficiency..... 3.12-13

Table 3.12-4. Impact Determinations for the Proposed Project..... 3.12-37

Table 3.12-5. Mitigation Monitoring for Water Resources. .... 3.12-40

Table 4-1. Related and Cumulative Projects. .... 4-5

Table 4-2. Proposed Project Daily Trip Generation. .... 4-65

Table 4-3. Proposed Project Pacific Coast Highway Entrance Peak Hour Trip Generation (in Passenger Car Equivalents). .... 4-65

Table 4-4. Net Change in Peak Hour Trips Proposed Project Pacific Coast Highway Entrance (in Passenger Car Equivalents). .... 4-66

Table 4-5. Proposed Project Site (Sepulveda Driveways) and Relocation Site Peak Hour Trip Generation (in Passenger Car Equivalents). .... 4-66

Table 4-6. Other Intermodal Facility Peak Hour Trip Generation (in Passenger Car Equivalents). .... 4-67

Table 4-7. Cumulative Intersection Level of Service Analysis – Year 2016 Proposed Project. .... 4-70

Table 4-8. Cumulative Intersection Level of Service Analysis – Year 2023 Proposed Project. .... 4-71

Table 4-9. Cumulative Intersection Level of Service Analysis – Year 2035 Proposed Project. .... 4-72

Table 4-10. Cumulative Intersection Level of Service Analysis – Year 2046 Proposed Project. .... 4-73

Table 4-11. Cumulatively Considerable Intersection Level of Service Analysis – Year 2016 Proposed Project vs. Without Project. .... 4-74

Table 4-12. Cumulatively Considerable Intersection Level of Service Analysis – Year 2023 Proposed Project vs. Without Project. .... 4-75

Table 4-13. Cumulatively Considerable Intersection Level of Service Analysis – Year 2035 Proposed Project vs. Without Project. .... 4-76

Table 4-14. Cumulatively Considerable Intersection Level of Service Analysis – Year 2046 Proposed Project vs. Without Project. .... 4-77

Table 4-15. Intersection Level of Service Analysis – Year 2035 Proposed Project With Mitigation. .... 4-79

Table 4-16. Year 2016 Proposed Project Freeway Analysis. .... 4-81

Table 4-17. Year 2023 Proposed Project Freeway Analysis. .... 4-81

Table 4-18. Year 2035 Proposed Project Freeway Analysis. .... 4-82

Table 4-19. Year 2046 Proposed Project Freeway Analysis. .... 4-82

Table 4-20. Year 2016 Proposed Project Cumulative Freeway Analysis. .... 4-83

Table 4-21. Year 2023 Proposed Project Cumulative Freeway Analysis. .... 4-84

Table 4-22. Year 2035 Proposed Project Cumulative Freeway Analysis. .... 4-85

Table 4-23. Year 2046 Proposed Project Cumulative Freeway Analysis. .... 4-86

Table 4-24. Year 2016 Proposed Project Cumulatively Considerable Freeway Analysis. .... 4-87

Table 4-25. Year 2023 Proposed Project Cumulatively Considerable Freeway Analysis. .... 4-88

Table 4-26. Year 2035 Proposed Project Cumulatively Considerable Freeway Analysis. .... 4-89

Table 4-27. Year 2046 Proposed Project Cumulatively Considerable Freeway Analysis. .... 4-90

Table 4-28. BNSF San Bernardino Subdivision, from Hobart Yard to San Bernardino, 2035..... 4-93

Table 4-29. BNSF Cajon Subdivision, from San Bernardino to Barstow, 2035..... 4-96

Table 4-30. BNSF San Bernardino Subdivision, from Hobart Yard to San Bernardino, 2046..... 4-97

Table 4-31. BNSF Cajon Subdivision, from San Bernardino to Barstow, 2046..... 4-100

Table 4-32. Peak Daily Construction Emissions - Combined SCIG and ICTF Proposed Projects..... 4-117

Table 4-33. Peak Daily Operational Emissions - Combined SCIG and ICTF Proposed Projects..... 4-118

Table 4-34. Peak Daily Construction and Operational Emissions during the Construction Period - Combined SCIG and ICTF Proposed Projects. .... 4-120

Table 4-35. Maximum Residential Health Impacts – Combined SCIG and ICTF Proposed Projects..... 4-123

Table 4-36. Peak Daily Operational Emissions - Combined SCIG and ICTF No Project Alternatives ..... 4-130

Table 4-37. Maximum Residential Health Impacts – Combined SCIG and ICTF No Project Alternatives..... 4-132

Table 4-38. Summary of the Predicted SCIG and ICTF Train Horn SEL at Nearby Residences. .... 4-145

Table 4-39. Summary of SCIG and ICTF Off-Site Rail Noise Levels at City of Los Angeles Receivers..... 4-146

Table 4-40. Summary of the Predicted Daytime Construction Noise Levels for SCIG Construction and Daytime ICTF Construction and Operational Noise Levels. .... 4-148

Table 4-41. Summary of the Predicted Nighttime SCIG Construction and ICTF Construction and Operational Noise Levels. .... 4-150

Table 4-42. Summary of the Predicted Nighttime SEL for SCIG Construction and ICTF Construction and Operational Noise..... 4-151

Table 4-43. Summary of the SCIG Project’s Daytime Construction Noise Levels and ICTF Construction and Operational Noise Levels within Classrooms. .... 4-152

Table 4-44. Summary of the SCIG and ICTF Project On-Site Operational Noise Levels. .... 4-154

Table 4-45. Summary of the Predicted SCIG Train Horn SEL at Nearby Residences. .... 4-155

Table 4-46. Summary of SCIG and ICTF Off-Site Rail Noise Levels at City of Long Beach Receivers. .... 4-156

Table 4-47. Summary of the Proposed SCIG Project’s Operational Noise Levels and ICTF Operational Noise within Classrooms..... 4-158

Table 4-48. Predicted SCIG and ICTF Train Horn SEL within Classrooms..... 4-159

Table 4-49. Summary of the Predicted Daytime SCIG Construction and ICTF Construction and Operational Noise Levels in Carson. .... 4-160

Table 4-50. Summary of the Predicted Nighttime SCIG Construction and ICTF Project Construction and Operational Noise Levels. .... 4-160

Table 4-51. Summary of the Predicted Nighttime Construction Noise SEL for SCIG Construction and ICTF Construction and Operational Noise..... 4-161

Table 4-52. Summary of the SCIG and ICTF On-Site Operational Noise Levels in Carson. .... 4-162

Table 4-53. Summary of the Predicted SCIG and ICTF Train Horn SEL at Nearby Residences. .... 4-162

Table 4-54. Summary of SCIG and ICTF Off-Site Rail Noise Levels at City of Carson Receivers. .... 4-163

Table 4-55. Summary of the Predicted Daytime SCIG Construction and ICTF Construction and Operational Noise Levels in Los Angeles County..... 4-163

Table 4-56. Summary of the Predicted Nighttime SCIG Construction and ICTF Construction and Operational Noise Levels. .... 4-164

Table 4-57. Summary of the Predicted Nighttime Construction Noise SEL for SCIG Construction and ICTF Construction and Operational Noise..... 4-164

Table 4-58. Summary of the SCIG and ICTF Project On-Site Operational Noise Levels in Los Angeles County. .... 4-164

Table 4-59. Summary of the Predicted SCIG and ICTF Train Horn SEL at Nearby Residences. .... 4-165

Table 4-60. Summary of SCIG and ICTF Rail Noise Levels at Los Angeles County Receivers. .... 4-165

Table 4-61. Summary of SCIG and ICTF No Project Operational Noise Levels..... 4-166

Table 4-62. Summary of the SCIG and ICTF Combined No Project Operational Noise Levels within Classrooms. .... 4-169

Table 4-63. Proposed SCIG Project Daily Trip Generation. .... 4-171

Table 4-64. Proposed SCIG Project and Net Change Peak Hour Trip Generation (in Passenger Car Equivalents) ..... 4-171

Table 4-65. Proposed ICTF Modernization Project Daily Trip Generation. .... 4-173

Table 4-66. Proposed ICTF Modernization Project Peak Hour Trip Generation (in Passenger Car Equivalents). .... 4-173

Table 4-67. ICTF Modernization No Project Alternative Daily Trip Generation.... 4-174

Table 4-68. Intersection Level of Service Analysis – Year 2023 No Projects and Year 2023 Combined Projects. .... 4-176

Table 4-69. Intersection Level of Service Analysis – Year 2035 No Projects and Year 2035 Combined Projects.- ..... 4-177

Table 4-70. Freeway Level of Service Analysis – Year 2023 Combined Projects and Year 2023 No Projects..... 4-178

Table 4-71. Freeway Level of Service Analysis – Year 2035 Combined Projects and Year 2035 No Projects..... 4-179

  

Table 5-1. Average Daily Operational Emissions – No Project Alternative..... 5-4

Table 5-2. Peak Daily Operational Emissions – No Project Alternative..... 5-6

Table 5-3. Maximum Offsite NO<sub>2</sub>, CO, and SO<sub>2</sub> Concentrations Associated with Operation of the No Project Alternative. .... 5-9

Table 5-4. Maximum Offsite PM<sub>10</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub> Concentrations Associated with Operation of the No Project Alternative. .... 5-10

Table 5-5. Maximum Predicted CO Concentrations at the Anaheim St./E. I St/W. 9th St. Intersection – No Project Alternative. .... 5-11

Table 5-6. Maximum Health Impacts Associated with the No Project Alternative..... 5-12

Table 5.7. Annual Operational Emissions – No Project Alternative..... 5-15

Table 5-8. No Project Alternative Roadway Traffic Noise Level Changes..... 5-21

Table 5-9. No Project Peak-Hour Trip Generation and Net Change Compared to CEQA Baseline Conditions (in Passenger Car Equivalents)..... 5-23

Table 5-10. Intersection Level of Service Analysis – No Project Alternative. .... 5-25

Table 5-11. No Project Alternative Freeway Contribution. .... 5-26

Table 5-12. No Project Alternative Freeway Level of Service Analysis. .... 5-26

Table 5-13. Operations at the Project Site Under the Reduced Project Alternative..... 5-29

Table 5-14. Average Daily Operational Emissions – Reduced Project Alternative..... 5-33

Table 5-15. Peak Daily Operational Emissions – Reduced Project Alternative..... 5-36

Table 5-16. Maximum Offsite NO<sub>2</sub>, CO, and SO<sub>2</sub> Concentrations Associated with Operation of the Reduced Project Alternative. .... 5-40

Table 5-17. Maximum Offsite PM<sub>10</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub> Concentrations Associated with Operation of the Reduced Project Alternative. .... 5-40

Table 5-18. Maximum Offsite NO<sub>2</sub>, CO, and SO<sub>2</sub> Concentrations Associated with Operation of the Reduced Project Alternative – with Mitigation..... 5-41

Table 5-19. Maximum Offsite PM<sub>10</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub> Concentrations Associated with Operation of the Reduced Project Alternative – with Mitigation..... 5-42

Table 5-20. Maximum Health Impacts Associated with the Unmitigated Reduced Project Alternative. .... 5-42

Table 5-21. Maximum Health Impacts Associated with the Mitigated Reduced Project Alternative. .... 5-45

Table 5-22. Annual Operational Emissions – Reduced Project Alternative. .... 5-49

Table 5-23. Reduced Project Alternative Roadway Traffic Noise Level Changes..... 5-57

Table 5-24. Summary of Predicted Reduced Project Alternative Operational Noise Levels..... 5-59

Table 5-25. Reduced Project Alternative Peak-Hour Trip Generation and Net Change Compared to CEQA Baseline Conditions (in Passenger Car Equivalents)..... 5-61

Table 5-26. Intersection Level of Service Analysis – Alternative 2 - Reduced Project Alternative..... 5-62

Table 5-27. Reduced Project Alternative Freeway Analysis. .... 5-63

Table 5-28. No Project Site Peak Hour Trip Generation and Net Change Compared to Baseline Conditions (in Passenger Car Equivalents). .... 5-70

Table 5-29. Intersection Level of Service Analysis – Year 2016 – No Project Alternative..... 5-72

Table 5-30. Intersection Level of Service Analysis – Year 2023 – No Project Alternative..... 5-73

Table 5-31. Intersection Level of Service Analysis – Year 2035 – No Project Alternative..... 5-74

Table 5-32. Intersection Level of Service Analysis –Year 2046 – No Project Alternative..... 5-75

Table 5-33. Intersection Level of Service Analysis – Year 2016 – No Project Alternative..... 5-76

Table 5-34. Intersection Level of Service Analysis – Year 2023 – No Project Alternative..... 5-77

Table 5-35. Intersection Level of Service Analysis – Year 2035 – No Project Alternative..... 5-78

Table 5-36. Intersection Level of Service Analysis –Year 2046 – No Project Alternative..... 5-79

Table 5-37. Year 2016 No Project Cumulative Freeway Analysis..... 5-81

Table 5-38. Year 2023 No Project Cumulative Freeway Analysis..... 5-82

Table 5-39. Year 2035 No Project Cumulative Freeway Analysis..... 5-83

Table 5-40. Year 2046 No Project Cumulative Freeway Analysis..... 5-84

Table 5-41. Reduced Project Alternative Pacific Coast Highway Entrance Peak Hour Trip Generation (in Passenger Car Equivalents)..... 5-87

Table 5-42. Reduced Capacity Alternative Net Change in Peak Hour Trips Proposed Project Pacific Coast Highway Entrance (in Passenger Car Equivalents)..... 5-88

Table 5-43. Intersection Level of Service Analysis – Year 2016 – Reduced Project Alternative..... 5-89



Table 5-44. Intersection Level of Service Analysis – Year 2023 – Reduced Project Alternative..... 5-90

Table 5-45. Intersection Level of Service Analysis – Year 2035 – Reduced Project Alternative..... 5-91

Table 5-46. Intersection Level of Service Analysis –Year 2046 – Reduced Project Alternative..... 5-92

Table 5-47. Intersection Level of Service Analysis – Year 2016 Reduced Project Alternative..... 5-93

Table 5-48. Intersection Level of Service Analysis – Year 2023 Reduced Project Alternative..... 5-94

Table 5-49. Intersection Level of Service Analysis – Year 2035 Reduced Project Alternative..... 5-95

Table 5-50. Intersection Level of Service Analysis –Year 2046 Reduced Project Alternative..... 5-96

Table 5-51. Intersection Level of Service Analysis –Year 2035 Reduced Project Alternative with Mitigation..... 5-98

Table 5-52. Intersection Level of Service Analysis –Year 2046 Reduced Project Alternative with Mitigation..... 5-98

Table 5-53. Year 2016 Reduced Project Freeway Analysis. .... 5-100

Table 5-54. Year 2023 Reduced Project Freeway Analysis. .... 5-100

Table 5-55. Year 2035 Reduced Project Freeway Analysis. .... 5-100

Table 5-56. Year 2046 Reduced Project Freeway Analysis. .... 5-101

Table 5-57. Year 2016 Reduced Project Cumulative Freeway Analysis..... 5-102

Table 5-58. Year 2023 Reduced Project Cumulative Freeway Analysis..... 5-103

Table 5-59. Year 2035 Reduced Project Cumulative Freeway Analysis..... 5-104

Table 5-60. Year 2046 Reduced Project Cumulative Freeway Analysis..... 5-105

Table 5-61. Year 2016 Reduced Project Cumulatively Considerable Freeway Analysis. .... 5-106

Table 5-62. Year 2023 Reduced Project Cumulatively Considerable Freeway Analysis. .... 5-107

Table 5-63. Year 2035 Reduced Project Cumulatively Considerable Freeway Analysis. .... 5-108

Table 5-64. Year 2046 Reduced Project Cumulatively Considerable Freeway Analysis. .... 5-109

Table 5-65. Impacts of the Proposed Project and Alternatives. .... 5-111

Table 5-66. Comparison of the Proposed Project and Alternatives Showing Significant and Unavoidable Impacts After Mitigation. .... 5-168

Table 6-1. Minority and Low-Income Populations. .... 6-2

Table 6-2. Minority and Low-Income Characteristics in the Vicinity of the Proposed Project Site by Block Group..... 6-6

Table 7-1. Total Civilian Employment by County (1990-2006)..... 7-3

Table 7-2. Employment Projections (2005-2030)..... 7-5

Table 7-3. Unemployment Rate (%) By County (1990-2006)..... 7-6

Table 7-4. Total Farm and Nonfarm Employment for Los Angeles County (1990-2006)..... 7-7

Table 7-5. Occupational Breakdown by Place of Residence 2000. .... 7-11

Table 7-6. Household and Family Income by Source of Income. .... 7-12

Table 7-7. Household, Family and Per Capita Income by Place of Residence. .... 7-12

Table 7-8. Population by Region, County, Place, and Community Planning Area..... 7-14

Table 7-9. Population Projections for Region, County, and Place (2005-2030). .... 7-15

Table 7-10. Housing Characteristics in 2000. .... 7-18

Table 7-11. Home Price by County. .... 7-20

Table 7-12. Home Prices by Community..... 7-21

Table 7-13. Port Industry Activities. .... 7-27

Table 7-14. Project Expenditures and Output..... 7-29